

ENDEXTENSIONS IN BOUNDED ARITHMETIC AND COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY

MASAHIRO YASUMOTO

Graduate School of Human Informatics
Nagoya University

ABSTRACT. Let N be an elementary extension of \mathbb{N} and $n \in N - \mathbb{N}$. We prove that $PTC(n)$ has no proper endextension of Δ_1^b -LLIND and consider conditions that a model of bounded arithmetic has a proper end extension.

Let N be an elementary extension of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} and M be a substructure of N which is a model of PA^- , then M has a proper endextension of PA^- . If M satisfies PA , then it is well known that M also has a proper endextension of PA . In this paper, we consider conditions that a model M of a theory T in bounded arithmetic has a proper endextension of some subtheory of T .

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(No.12640115), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

1. Polynomial time closure

Let n be a nonstandard element i.e. $n \in N - \mathbb{N}$. $PTC(n)$ denotes the polynomial time closure of $\{n\}$ in N , then $PTC(n)$ is a model of T_2^0 . If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then $PTC(n)$ is a model of S_2 . In this section, we prove

Theorem 1. *$PTC(n)$ has no proper endextension which satisfies $\Delta_1^b - LLIND$.*

Let $T(e, x; \alpha)$ be an oracle Turing machine satisfying the condition that for any oracle Turing machine $T'(x; \alpha)$ there are infinitely many natural numbers e such that

$$T(e, x; \alpha) = T'(x; \alpha).$$

Let $T(e, x; \alpha)(t)$ denote the content of the output tape of the machine T at the time t of the computation with an input x . Then $y = T(e, x; \alpha)(|z|)$ can be written as a $\Delta_1^b(\alpha)$ -formula which we write by

$$y = \{e\}(x, |z|; \alpha).$$

The polynomial time closure $PTC(n)$ of $\{n\}$ is the set

$$\{\{e\}(n, |n|^{|e|}; \emptyset) \mid e \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Assume that $PTC(n)$ has a proper endextension L of $\Delta_1^b - LLIND$. Let $m \in L - PTC(n)$. Since L is an endextension of $PTC(n)$, for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $m > 2^{|n|^e} \in$

$PTC(n)$. Now we consider the following infinite set

$$\alpha = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid x < |n|\}.$$

Since L is an endextension of $PTC(n)$, α is defined in L by a Δ_1^b -formula with the parameters n and m , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \{x \in L \mid L \models x < |n| \wedge x = \{e\}(n, |n|^{|e|}; \emptyset) \text{ for some } e \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{x \in L \mid L \models x < |n| \wedge \exists e < ||m|| (x = \{e\}(n, |n|^{|e|}; \emptyset) \wedge \forall y < e (x \neq \{y\}(n, |n|^{|y|}; \emptyset)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathbb{N} is defined in L by a Δ_1^b -formula with parameters n and m ,

$$\mathbb{N} = \{e \in L \mid L \models e < ||m|| \wedge \exists x < |n| (x = \{e\}(n, |n|^{|e|}; \emptyset) \wedge \forall y < e (x \neq \{y\}(n, |n|^{|y|}; \emptyset)))\}$$

Let $\Phi(e, m, n)$ be the defining Δ_1^b -formula of \mathbb{N} in L , then $LLIND(\Phi(x, m, n))$ does not hold in L .

2. Endextensions

In this section, we try to construct an endextension L of $PTC(n)$ which contains 2^n .

Since $\exists x(x = 2^n)$ holds in L but not in $PTC(n)$, L cannot be an elementary extension of $PTC(n)$. So many tools in model theory, omitting type arguments, internal ultrapower etc. which give endextensions are of no use. Let $M = \{x \in N \mid x < y \text{ for some } y \in PTC(n)\}$. Then M is closed under the smash function, hence M is a model of S_2 .

First we define L to be the set of all bounded subsets of $PTC(n)$ defined by Σ^b -formulas, in other words, $\alpha \in L$ if and only if there exists a Σ^b -formula $\Phi(x, y)$ and $a \in PTC(n)$ such that

$$\alpha = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \Phi(x, a) \wedge x < a\}.$$

For such $\alpha, \Phi(x, y)$ and $a \in PTC(n)$, let

$$\alpha_M = \{x \in M \mid M \models \Phi(x, a) \wedge x < a\}.$$

If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then $PTC(n)$ is a Σ^b -elementary substructure of M , hence $\alpha = \alpha_M \cap PTC(n)$. Since α_M is definable in N , there exists a $c_\alpha \in N$ such that

$$\alpha_M = \{x \in N \mid bit(c_\alpha, x) = 1\}.$$

By identifying $\alpha \in L$ with c_α , we can consider $L \subset N$. Let $\alpha = \{n\} \in L$, then $c_\alpha = 2^n$, so L contains 2^n .

Lemma 1. *If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then L is an endextension of $PTC(n)$.*

Proof. Let $c_\alpha \in L$. Then there is a Σ^b -formula $\Phi(x, y)$ and a $a \in PTC(n) \subset M$ such that $\alpha = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \Phi(x, a) \wedge x < a\}$. Let $b \in PTC(n)$ be such that $c_\alpha < b$, then we have

$$\alpha = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \Phi(x, a) \wedge x < |b|\}.$$

Since we are assuming $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, $PTC(n)$ is a model of S_2 , hence $c_\alpha \in PTC(n)$.

Lemma 2. *If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then $\alpha \in L$ implies $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\alpha \rfloor \in L$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(x, y)$ and $a \in PTC(n)$ define α , i.e.

$$\alpha = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \Phi(x, a) \wedge x < a\},$$

then

$$\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\alpha \rfloor = \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \Phi(x + 1, a) \wedge x < a - 1\}.$$

Lemma 3. *If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then $\alpha, \beta \in L$ implies $\alpha \sharp \beta \in L$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(x, y)$ and $a \in PTC(n)$ (resp. $\Psi(x, y)$ and $b \in PTC(n)$) define α (resp. β),

then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \# \beta &= \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models x = \max(\alpha) + \max(\beta)\} \\ &= \{x \in PTC(n) \mid PTC(n) \models \exists y < a \exists z < b (x = y + z \wedge \Phi(y) \wedge \Psi(z)) \\ &\quad \wedge \forall v < a (y < v \rightarrow \neg \Phi(v)) \wedge \forall w < b (z < w \rightarrow \neg \Psi(w)) \wedge x < a + b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then $\alpha, \beta \in L$ implies $\alpha + \beta \in L$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(x, y), \Psi(x, y)$ and $a, b \in PTC(n)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3. Then

$\alpha + \beta$ is defined by the following bounded formula and $\max(a, b) + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} &(((\Phi(x, y) \Delta \Psi(x, y)) \wedge \exists i < x (\neg \Phi(i, y) \wedge \neg \Psi(i, y) \wedge \forall j < x (i < j \rightarrow (\Phi(j, y) \Delta \Psi(j, y)))))) \\ &\vee (\neg(\Phi(x, y) \Delta \Psi(x, y)) \wedge \exists i < x (\Phi(i, y) \wedge \Psi(i, y) \wedge \forall j < x (i < j \rightarrow (\Phi(j, y) \Delta \Psi(j, y)))))) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi \Delta \Psi$ denotes $(\Phi \wedge \neg \Psi) \vee (\neg \Phi \wedge \Psi)$.

Next we consider multiplication on L . To prove that L is closed under multiplication, we need more assumption than $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$.

Lemma 5. *Assume that $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$, then $\alpha, \beta \in L$ implies $\alpha \cdot \beta \in L$.*

Proof. $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is computable by a **PSPACE** machine with oracles α and β , more precisely,

there exists an oracle **PSPACE**-machine $S(x; \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$x \in \alpha \cdot \beta \text{ if and only if } S(x; \alpha, \beta).$$

Since $\alpha, \beta \in L$ and $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$, $S(x, \alpha, \beta)$ is poly-time computable, hence $\alpha \cdot \beta \in L$.

Lemma 6. *Assume that $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$, then L is a model of $\Sigma_0^b - LIND$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(x)$ be a Σ_0^b -formura, then there is a $\Sigma_0^{1,b}$ formula $\phi(x)$ such that

$$L \models \Phi(x) \text{ if and only if } PTC(n) \models \phi(x).$$

Assume that $PTC(n) \models \phi(0) \wedge \neg\phi(t)$ for some $t \in PTC(n)$. Since we are assuming

$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$, we can find $x \in PTC(n)$ by a \mathbf{PSPACE} -machine such that $PTC(n) \models$

$\phi(x) \wedge \neg\phi(x + 1)$, as contended.

Conjecture. *Assume that $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PSPACE}$, then L is a model of $\Delta_1^b - LLIND$.*

If we prove the Conjecture, then by Theorem 1, we can conclude $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{PSPACE}$.

REFERENCES

1. P.Hajek and P. Pudlak, *Metamathematics of First-Order Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
2. G.Takeuti and M.Yasuoto, *Forcing on Bounded Arithmetic*, Gödel'96 (P.Hájek, eds.), Lecture Notes in Logic **6** (1996), pp. 120-138.
3. G.Takeuti and M.Yasuoto, *Forcing on Bounded Arithmetic II*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **63** (1998), pp. 860-868.

MASAHIRO YASUMOTO
 GRADUATE SCHOOL OF HUMAN INFORMATICS
 NAGOYA UNIVERSITY
 CHIKUSA-KU,
 NAGOYA, 464-8601,
 JAPAN

E-mail address: yasumoto@info.human.nagoya-u.ac.jp